

Motivation and Objectives

Motivations

- Next generation of jet engines will operate at pressures far higher than those of current engines for fuel efficiency improvement.
- A basic understanding of the combustion chemistry of jet fuels is critical to the design of highpressure turbine engines.
- Alternative fuels are expected to enter into the market in the next decade. Their use in commercial aviation is hindered by the lack of quick and inexpensive fuel certification methods.
- Approaches that can quickly and accurately unravel the combustion chemistry of alternative fuels are essential to enable engine design and fuel certification.

Methods and Materials

> Assumptions

- Early studies [1] suggest that for large hydrocarbon fuels pyrolysis precedes the oxidation of the decomposed fragments in real, liquid fuel combustion. These two reaction processes are decoupled in time scales.
- o In flames almost all large hydrocarbon fuels undergo oxidation also in two steps. In the preheat zone of the flame the fuel decomposes into about a half dozen of small molecular fragments in an endothermic process, regardless how complex the composition of the initial fuel is. The fragments then enter into the flame zone and are oxidized to combustion products in the second, exothermic step, which is rate limiting.
- \circ The pyrolysis fragments are dominated by ethylene (C₂H₄), propene (C₃H₆), *iso*-butene (*i*- C_4H_8 , 1-butene (1- C_4H_8), methane (CH_4), benzene (C_6H_6), toluene (C_7H_8) and hydrogen (H_2).



> HyChem Approach

- The kinetic rate of the overall pyrolysis is fast as compared to oxidation, and the distribution of the pyrolysis products has the dominant impact on radical buildup and heat release.
- The overall jet fuel reaction kinetics can be dealt with by combining an experimentally constrained, lumped pyrolysis model with a foundational chemistry model for the oxidation process of decomposition products (e.g., USC Mech II [2]). We term the approach as the hybrid chemistry (HyChem) approach.

> Pyrolysis Model – Reactions

• In HyChem, we write the lumped, pyrolysis model in the form of

$$C_{m}H_{n} \rightarrow e_{d}\left(C_{2}H_{4} + \lambda_{3}C_{3}H_{6} + \lambda_{4i}i - C_{4}H_{8} + \lambda_{4n}1 - C_{4}H_{8}\right)$$
$$+ b_{d}\left[\chi C_{6}H_{6} + (1 - \chi)C_{7}H_{8}\right] + \alpha H + (2 - \alpha)CH_{3}$$

 $C_mH_n + R \rightarrow RH + \gamma CH_4 + e_a \left(C_2H_4 + \lambda_3C_3H_6 + \lambda_{4i}i - C_4H_8 + \lambda_{4n}1 - C_4H_8\right)$ + $b_a \left[\chi C_6 H_6 + (1 - \chi) C_7 H_8 \right] + \beta H + (1 - \beta) C H_3$

where R = H, CH_3 , OH, O, O_2 and HO_2

> Pyrolysis Model – Parameters

- The model has 11 stoichiometric parameters: e_d , e_a , $b_{d'}$, b_a , α , β , γ , χ , λ_3 , λ_{4i} and λ_{4n} . Under the condition of complete reaction, elemental balances will eliminate four parameters, which are e_d , e_a , b_d and b_a .
- The 7 independent parameters, α , β , γ , χ , λ_3 , λ_{4i} and λ_{4n} , along with 7 reaction rate constants $(k_i, i = 1, 2, ..., 7)$ can be directly determined from shock tube and flow reactor experiments.

Project 26 Hybrid Approach to Chemical Kinetics Model Development and Evaluation



(2)

Results – Fuel Pyrolysis



• The 14 unknown parameters, α , β , γ , χ , λ_3 , λ_{4i} , λ_{4n} and k_i , (*i* = 1,2,...,7) may be determined from shock and flow reactor experiments under a wide range of conditions. The left figure shows the ethylene/methane yields at 1.0 ms during shock tube pyrolysis of selected jet fuels over the temperature range of 1050 to 1350 K, along with model predictions. The right figure shows one selected case of shock tube pyrolysis species time histories. The temperature sensitivity (±15 K) is shown with the dashed lines. The fuel oxidative pyrolysis section presents the species time profiles during flow reactor oxidative pyrolysis for a Jet A fuel, along with model predictions.

Results – Fuel Oxidative Pyrolysis

Flow reactor oxidative pyrolysis species time profiles



Project manager: Cecilia Shaw, FAA, Jeff Moder, NASA Lead investigator: Hai Wang, Stanford University Staff/Students: Rui Xu, Chiara Saggese

September 26-27, 2017

This work was funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under agreement number NNX15AV05A, and by the the US Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant number FA9550-14-1-0235, and also by the US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Office of Environment and Energy as a part of ASCENT Project 26 under FAA Award Number: 13-C-AJFE-SU-006. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the FAA or other ASCENT Sponsors.









- of typical jet fuels.
- NOx chemistry and soot model are under development.

[1] Davidson, D. F., Z. Hong, G. L. Pilla, A. Farooq, R. D. Cook, and R. K. Hanson. "Multi-species time-history measurements during n-dodecane oxidation behind reflected shock waves." Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 33, no. 1 (2011): 151-157. [2] Hai Wang, Xiaoqing You, Ameya V. Joshi, Scott G. Davis, Alexander Laskin, Fokion Egolfopoulos, and Chung K. Law, USC Mech Version II.High-Temperature Combustion Reaction Model of H2/CO/C1-C4 Compounds. http://ignis.usc.edu/USC_Mech_II.htm, May 2007.



Results – Fuel Oxidation

- premixed stagnation flames to examine the sooting properties of Jet A as function of its
- Tail end of the distillation curve produces significantly more soot than the light
- NOx measurements in premixed stagnation flames are underway with the goal of extending HyChem to predict NOx

Conclusions and Next Steps

• The HyChem approach provides a direct path towards real, liquid fuel combustion chemistry modeling. The resulting model is capable of predicting a wide range of combustion behaviors

• An automatic code for model derivation and refinement is currently under development.

References