FAA CENTER OF EXCELLENCE FOR ALTERNATIVE JET FUELS & ENVIRONMENT

Analysis to Support the Development of an Engine nvPM Emissions Standards Project 48

Lead investigators: Raymond Speth & Steven Barrett (MIT) Project manager: Daniel Jacob (FAA)

> October 22–23, 2019 Alexandria, VA

This research was funded by the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration Office of Environment and Energy through ASCENT, the FAA Center of Excellence for Alternative Jet Fuels and the Environment, project 48 through FAA Award Number 13-C-AJFE-MIT under the supervision of Daniel Jacob. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the FAA.



Introduction



Motivation

- Aircraft nonvolatile particulate matter (nvPM) emissions have negative environmental impacts
- Visibility-based smoke number (SN) limit not designed to target emissions from landing & takeoff (LTO) operations
- New techniques provide more accurate measurements of nvPM mass and particle number emissions

Goal

 Aid FAA & ICAO with data and modeling to provide a scientific basis for establishing an nvPM emissions standard

Approach

- Estimate current and potential future nvPM emissions
- Conduct a cost-benefit analysis using the APMT-Impacts tools





Estimate aircraft engine emissions

- Direct nvPM measurements available for selected engines
- Develop a method to predict emissions from other engines

2

Predict future emissions

- Use nvPM emissions model to estimate future emissions
- Model change in emissions due to candidate regulations

- Calculate future air quality and climate impacts
- Compare to industry costs of reducing emissions



Dataset 1

- Concurrent SN and nvPM
 mass measurements
- Standardized, certification compliant measurements



Dataset 2

- nvPM mass and particle number measurements
- Estimate of particle losses in measurement system





Use dataset 1 to predict mass emissions

Result



Observations

- Estimates instrument measurement $C_{BC,i} = \frac{648.8e^{0.0766 \cdot SN}}{1 + e^{-1.098 \cdot (SN - 3.064)}}$
- Predicts steeper trend at low SN than at high SN
- Confidence & prediction intervals grow at low SN due to data spread
- Intervals are propagated through emissions code to estimate uncertainty



Use dataset 2 to predict exit plane mass emissions

Approach

• Measured emissions are affected by losses in the measurement system:

 $C_{BC,e} = k_{slm} \cdot C_{BC,i}$

- Losses vary by particle size
- Larger particles carry more mass so mass concentration is a proxy for particle size $k_{slm} = \ln\left(\frac{3.219 \cdot C_{BC,i} + 312.5}{C_{BC,i} + 42.6}\right)$
- Corrects emissions to engine exit plane

Correlation





Use dataset 2 to predict exit plane mass emissions

Approach

 Measured emissions are affected by losses in the measurement system:

 $C_{BC,e} = k_{slm} \cdot C_{BC,i}$

- Losses vary by particle size
- Larger particles carry more mass so mass concentration is a proxy for particle size $k_{slm} = \ln\left(\frac{3.219 \cdot C_{BC,i} + 312.5}{C_{BC,i} + 42.6}\right)$
- Corrects emissions to engine exit plane



Result

- Begin with certification SN
- Compare to data from dataset 2
- $R^2 = 0.8$
- RMSE: 82 mg/kg
- Lower accuracy during taxi



Extend to predict particle number emissions

Approach

 Need particle size to move mass to number

 $C_{\#,e}(BC) = \frac{6C_{m,e}(BC)}{\pi\rho \mathbf{GMD}^3 e^{4.5(\ln\sigma)^2}}$

 High mass conc. in combustor drives GMD due to coagulation

 $GMD = 5.08 \cdot C_{BC,c}^{0.185}$

 Mass balance to get combustor mass conc.

$$C_{BC,c} = C_{BC,e} \frac{\rho_{t4}}{\rho_a}$$

80 Measurement points 70 Exit plane particle size (GMD) [nm] Best fit 95% confidence interval 95% prediction interval 5 10^{1} 10³ 100 10^{2} 10^{4} Combustor nvPM mass concentration [µg/m³]

Correlation



Extend to predict particle number emissions

Approach

 Need particle size to move mass to number

$$C_{\#,e}(BC) = \frac{6C_{m,e}(BC)}{\pi\rho \mathbf{GMD}^3 e^{4.5(\ln\sigma)^2}}$$

 High mass conc. in combustor drives GMD due to coagulation

 $GMD = 5.08 \cdot C_{BC,c}^{0.185}$

 Mass balance to get combustor mass conc.

$$C_{BC,c} = C_{BC,e} \frac{\rho_{t4}}{\rho_a}$$



Result

- Use estimated exit plane mass concentration
- Compare to data from dataset 2
- $R^2 = 0.82$
- RMSE: 1.5 × 10¹⁵ particles/kg
- Lower accuracy during taxi/approach



Application to global LTO emissions in 2015

	LTO BC Mass	LTO BC Particles
Method	[Gg/yr]	[×10 ²⁵ particles/yr]
This work ¹	0.74 (95% CI: 0.64, 0.84)	2.85 (95% CI: 1.86, 4.49)
FOA3	0.51	
Stettler et al. (2013)	1.38	
Zhang et al. (2019)	0.72	1.1

¹ A. Agarwal, R. L. Speth, T. M. Fritz, S. D. Jacob, T. Rindlisbacher, R. Iovinelli, B. Owen, R. Miake-Lye, J. S. Sabnis, and S. R. H. Barrett, "SCOPE11 method for estimating aircraft black carbon mass and particle number emissions", *Environmental Science and Technology* **53** (2019), no. 3, 1364–1373.





Estimate aircraft engine emissions

- Direct nvPM measurements available for selected engines
- Develop a method to predict emissions from other engines

2

Predict future emissions

- Use nvPM emissions model to estimate future emissions
- Model change in emissions due to candidate regulations

- Calculate future air quality and climate impacts
- Compare to industry costs of reducing emissions

Effect of regulatory options on emissions



- Determine emissions associated with different candidate regulations
- Compare the effect of each candidate regulation on emissions at both LTO and cruise operations to business-as-usual scenario
- Decisions are made considering the resulting cost benefit analysis







Estimate aircraft engine emissions

- Direct nvPM measurements available for selected engines
- Develop a method to predict emissions from other engines

2

Predict future emissions

- Use nvPM emissions model to estimate future emissions
- Model change in emissions due to candidate regulations

- Calculate future air quality and climate impacts
- Compare to industry costs of reducing emissions



Cost-benefit analysis

- Used the APMT-Impacts tool suite to monetize climate
 and air quality impacts of emissions reduction
- Modeling tools include:

Climate: Reduced order model that quantifies the physical impacts of CO_2 and non- CO_2 aircraft emissions; monetized using economic damage functions

Air quality: Sensitivities calculated using the GEOS-Chem adjoint, a global chemistry transport model, to relate health outcomes to emissions; monetized using value of statistical life

 Uncertainties propagated throughout and compared with industry costs



Summary

Estimated aircraft engine emissions

- Developed an approach that uses only SN to estimate nvPM mass and particle number
- Uncertainties included & propagated throughout



Predicted future emissions

- nvPM emissions predicted using above approach (SCOPE11)
- Estimated effect of regulations on fleet emissions

- Evaluated climate and air quality changes using APMT-Impacts
- Environmental uncertainty propagated throughout